# NORTHGATE REVITALIZATION

ZONING PROJECT

# DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PUBLIC COMMENT MEETING

May 28, 2008

7:00 P.M.

Northgate Community Center

10510 Fifth Avenue Northeast

Seattle, Washington

Catherine A. Decker, Court Reporter

CCR 1975

											Pag	ge	2
1	IN	D E X	0	F	S	Р	Ε	Α	K	Ε	R	S	
2													
3											F	ag	је
4	CAROL CARNAHAN											5	)
5	11535 Corliss No. Seattle, Washington	98133											
6	MARY MILLS	NIC										6	
7	11528 Roosevelt Way Seattle, Washington												
8	RENEE STATON											7	1
9	12516 12th Ave. NE Seattle, Washington 98125												
10	COLLEEN MILLS											9	)
11	Mullaly Development												
12	SUE GEVING 316 NE 115th	00105										10	ļ
13	Seattle, Washington	98125											
14	GAIL GAUTESTAD 2117 N. 107th Seattle, Washington	98133										12	
15	-	70133											
16	SHAIZA DANJI 2140 No. Northgate W Seattle, Washington	_										13	
17	-	30133										1.0	
18	RICHARD TRUAX 10753 Ashworth Ave. Seattle, Washington											18	
19												01	
20	GREG HUNTER 11720 24th Ave. NE Seattle, Washington	98125										21	
21	-	30120										2.0	
22	JOHN MULLALY Mullaly Development											22	
23	MELODY MCCUTCHEON 1221 2nd Ave. #500											24	:
24	Seattle, Washington	98101											
25													

		Page 3
1	GRACE KIM	26
2	1720 12th Ave. E. Seattle, Washington 98122	
3	KEVIN WALLACE	28
4	Developer of 507 Northgate Project	
F	SY IFFERT	31
5 6	111 W. Highland Dr. #2W Seattle, Washington 98119	
Ŭ	BARBARA MAXWELL	32
7	835 NE 100th St.	
8	Seattle, Washington 98133	
9	RYAN MILLER	36
9	1047 NE 104th Seattle, Washington 98133	
10		0.0
11	GREG GOODWIN 2215 No. 107th	39
1.0	Seattle, Washington 98133	
12	KEN MEYER	40
13	1509 No. 143rd St.	
14	Seattle, Washington 98133	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 4
1		APPEARANCES
2		
3		ent of Planning and Development:
4		KRISTIAN KOFOED Land Use Section P.O. Box 34019
5		Seattle, Washington 98124-4019
6	For Weinman Consulting, LLC	
7		RICHARD WEINMAN 9350 SE 68th Street
8		Mercer Island, Washington 98040
9	For the City of Seattle, Of	fice of Policy and Management:
10	_	PAUL FISCHBURG P.O. Box 94745
11		Seattle, Washington 98124-4745
12	For ESA Adolfson:	MARK JOHNSON
13		Senior Project Manager 5309 Shileshole Ave. NW
14		Suite 200 Seattle, Washington 98107
15		
16	·	CATHERINE A. DECKER Van Pelt Corbett Bellows
17		100 South King Street, Suite 360 Seattle, Washington 98104
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### STATEMENT OF CAROL CARNAHAN

Hi. My name is Carol Carnahan. I live at 11535

Corliss North. I lived here before Northgate was

here. Went away for a long time but now I'm back

living in the family home here. And I'd like to say

it's nicer here now. It's diverse and rich. I've

lived in urban villages in two other states, and the

quality of life was very nice.

But I see an important component missing in the proposal, and that's -- it seems to me if it's going to be an urban village where the goal, as was stated in the document that I read in the library, is that you can walk to work and play, going about your daily routine -- I don't see walking and biking paths that are separate from the transportation corridors. What I'd like to see is a perimeter walking, biking path and a few inroads into the center. I think walking here is not enjoyable because the traffic is so bad and so noisy, but it could have the potential to be a true urban village with that facility added.

MR. WEINEMAN: Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF MARY MILLS

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

My name is Mary Mills and I live at 11528 Roosevelt Way Northeast. My concern focuses mainly on Section D. I'm more comfortable with, I believe it's, Alternative 2, the focused rezone. And my comment is with regard to Alternative 1. What I'm seeing at the north edge of Section D is a huge height difference between what's proposed and the residences in the immediate area. I don't know enough about the other areas to know if there is a more staggered effect down to where the houses comes in, how that impacts that. But there are houses across the street from areas that are already zoned at about 60 feet and aren't even built up to their potential yet. So we currently have the potential to grow a great deal without rezoning that area. And then if it went all the way up to 85 feet, that that could have a tremendous negative impact on the homes and the life and just the area in that section.

MR. WEINEMAN: Thank you.

22

23

24

25

#### STATEMENT OF RENEE STATON

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

My name is Renee Staton. My address is 12516

12th Avenue Northeast. I live in the Pinehurst

neighborhood. And I want to thank you for the

opportunity to make a statement tonight.

First of all, I want to say that I think an upzone and increased density in the Northgate urban corridor is an excellent idea and I fully support the process. It's needed to increase housing in the transit corridor and to add to the pedestrian orientation and the walkability of our neighborhood. Density in urban corridors is clearly the right thing to do from an environmental perspective, both preventing urban sprawl and reducing dependence on cars. The linking of a reason for affordable housing is also an excellent In this I hope that the city can find a way to make the rezoning work such that developers will include affordable housing in their projects rather than paying a buyout, and that we will retain and have a net gain in affordable housing in the Northgate urban corridor.

There is a lot of de facto affordable housing right now, and I hope that at the end of the day we still have at least that much if not more affordable

housing. I think affordable housing should be available in dense, transit-accessible areas where residents can more easily choose to live without the additional expense of cars.

And I have four concerns. One is perks utilized outside the urban corridor, and it should be excluded from this analysis. We can revisit this at a later date, perhaps as part of the upcoming neighborhood plan updates. The transition between the proposed rezone area that you were talking about and the adjacent single-family neighborhood along the north edge of the proposed rezone should be stepped, and perhaps a more creative zoning idea, such as cottage housing, could be considered for those transitional zones.

Neighbors in Pinehurst would really like to see pedestrian-oriented transportation infrastructure addressed before auto-oriented transportation infrastructure. In order to be a sustainable community, we need safe ways to walk. We don't have sidewalks and we have a lot of traffic right now. We need to implement the Northgate Coordinated Transportation Investment Plan, especially those projects near the areas that will be upzoned. One particular project that Pinehurst feels very strongly about is at Northeast 115th between 5th Avenue

Northeast and Pinehurst Way Northeast. We have a design already and we'd like to see it implemented. It's a sustainable street design.

And then one final thing is that while the areas that were currently being proposed are a great first step, there are other areas of opportunity that are not included here and that should be considered for rezone. The Northgate Mall has an enormous surface parking lot. Let's rezone that for housing. Also the business park south of the south lot is another wonderful opportunity for high-rise housing and mixed-use development. In ways it's a much better location for housing due to its proximity to the potential light rail station site.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF COLLEEN MILLS

Hi. I'm Colleen Mills and I work for Mullaly

Development and am also on the Stakeholders Group and

representing multiple family. So we're looking at site

B, the Northgate Apartments. The site has basically,

as most people who live around here know, reached its

economic life. We are supporting broad rezone, and

John Mullaly will talk about that in more detail. We

think because of where the site is located, it's been called the gateway, the core site. It's a unique opportunity for the city to benefit from also the redevelopment.

We would like to see a commercial zone to make it so that we'd have retail fronting the street. We would also have housing, and with its proximity to the mall, the light rail station, and possibly a light rail just a pickup station or a drop-off station. It's also been talked about possibly being put on First Northeast in front of the site. We've only said for many, many years, and it's become now very, very costly to operate because of the age of the buildings and the heating So we definitely would like to see the area system. rezoned and rezoned for commercial needs. And the city would also receive many benefits from having the site developed from a larger tax base, more jobs in the area, and also reaching its vision for an urban center. MR. WEINMAN: Thank you.

20

21

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

# STATEMENT OF SUE GEVING

22

I'm Sue Geving. I live at 316 Northeast 115th.

If you can visualize that we are right on the edge of
the planning area, 115th is zoned 7200. So we have

single family homes with big yards, and this proposal is putting L4 right up against it; and yes, we are concerned. Just to set the record straight, our street that has been cul de sac'd -- thank God -- but it wasn't just because Target moved in. The light there had been offset previously, and when they moved that street light directly into that street, that was more of an impact actually than the building was. So the reason they cul de sac'd it was because, yes, it was in the plan, but everyone knew then when they moved that in line with the street, we had already been getting tons of cars, that would just have -- just to set it straight, that was the thing was the light.

I have a lot of concern about neighborhood commercial creeping farther north. I'm fine with it on Northgate Way and Fifth. That's an arterial. Third Avenue is a designated green street, it's part of the park circulation and had been all along in our comp plan. Had the park, had a nice green street where people could walk and still rest and be peaceful. And I'd like to see that maintained. I don't think anybody ever really had thoughts of -- and I think we all know, that live in the neighborhood, that the back side of the Northgate north building has just been empty. I mean, that there is -- you all know, right? that there

is a little space there on Fifth Avenue that's never

been rented. So I mean there's nothing there that

would suggest that commercial would thrive to the north

of that. And that is one of my bigger concerns, you

know, just keep commercial back on the arterials where

it belongs.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF GAIL GAUTESTAD

Hi. My name is Gail Gautestad, G-A-U-T-E-S-T-A-D, and I'm at 2117 North 107th in a condominium. We're pretty much on the far west side of this project. And under two of those plans it doesn't look like we would be as heavily affected as some of the others. But because we're on North 107th, we're right on the south side of where the development would be. We have concerns about what the height might be if it's changed on the commercial buildings that exist to the north of 107th.

Currently -- my main concerns overall on this are traffic and parking. We currently are on a small strip of road between Meridian and Corliss. Westbound traffic comes up Meridian and speeds along there to get on I-5, and eastbound traffic comes from Northgate Way

or off of I-5 southbound to get to North Seattle

Community College. So we already have a very high

traffic area there, and I would hate to see that there

would be more congestion in that area without improving

that roadway.

On a personal note, if you have any influence with Metro, I could possibly get out of my single-occupancy vehicle if I could walk to the transit station and take an express bus to the University of Washington.

Hundreds of people go to the U.W. every day from Northgate, and the four-mile trip takes about 45 minutes.

And another issue that's prevalent around the city is homelessness, and that is in walking from where I live under the overpass of the freeway, there are a number of people who live there. And so during the winter months that might not be as comfortable as I would like. Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF SHAIZA DANJI

My name is Shaiza Danji, and my family owns the property to the west of I-5, which is more commonly referred to as the Hotel Nexus and the restaurant, formerly known as the Berkshire Grill, soon to be

reopened as the Saffron Grill. So it's 2140 North

Northgate Way. Our property is in part of study area A

in the draft EIS, and we're an integral part of the

Northgate urban center.

I would like to commend the City of Seattle for taking the initiative to consider the upzoning of Northgate Way and other relevant properties in the Northgate urban center and also wish to thank the city for its tremendous support of the Northgate

Stakeholders Group since 2003. The Stakeholders Group has been able to address large lot development in the Northgate urban center through consensus building and also developed the coordinated transportation investment plan known as CTIP. I have served on the Northgate Stakeholders Group since its inception and was particularly involved in the CTIP subcommittee.

My understanding from the draft EIS is that the objective of the upzone is to ensure that first the recent momentum from the Stakeholders Group is sustained, second, that growth can be accommodated, and third, that the Northgate area comprehensive plan's goal to transform an automobile-oriented landscape to a pedestrian-friendly destination with densities to support transit is achieved.

And underlying my comments tonight is the critical

theme that we want people to live, work, shop, and take public transit from Northgate, not just drive to Northgate to park and take transit downtown.

With all of this in mind, I have two major points this evening. First of all, the focused rezone contemplated by the draft EIS should be rejected as it really does not make sense with respect to the west side of the freeway. It treats the west side of the freeway differently from the east side of the freeway, and it's not really clear why. The draft EIS itself explicitly concludes in Section 1.7 that there is no significant difference between the delayed conditions at the intersection of Meridian and North Northgate Way under any of the alternatives when compared to the noaction alternative.

In fact, the draft EIS states that there is a possibility that average delays at this intersection could actually decrease to some degree under the broad rezone. If this is the case, why treat the west side of the freeway differently? I remind all of you that the CTIP does contemplate several initiatives on Northgate Way west of the freeway to mitigate traffic and improve pedestrian connectivity. In particular, I would encourage you all to look at CTIP item C-12 which would improve the pedestrian connection under Northgate

Way from both the design and pedestrian safety
perspective. And this actually addresses the point
that was made by the previous speaker. This item is
slated as a near-term item.

2.0

If we create a more pedestrian-friendly environment, we will have more pedestrians. It is crucial that the west side of the freeway be considered part of the Northgate urban center, not only in name but in action. And the focused rezone explicitly does not do this.

My second point is to encourage the city to seriously consider the advice of the Stakeholders Group from April of 2007 to seriously consider heights of up to 125 feet in additional properties along Northgate Way. It is difficult for us really to imagine Northgate as an urban center, but it really will happen, particularly with the advent of rapid transit. With rising gas prices, we have all seen how nationwide and specifically in our area people are reconsidering their driving habits. It would be unfortunate if the city does not really seize this opportunity that it has itself offered to reconceptualize Northgate as a true urban center while maintaining its unique single-family neighborhood characteristics outside the core.

The city should seriously consider heights up to

125 feet along Northgate Way. I will use our property as an example. We have owned the property for over 32 years and are very committed to Northgate in the long term. Our thinking for the property is long term and will require substantial thought and consideration in working with the city and the community. We are currently zoned neighborhood commercial up to 65 feet, so we're already a commercial zone. But the property across the street from us is zoned 85 feet.

2.0

Under the no-action option and the focused rezone option, there would be no redevelopment of our site and certainly no residential development on our site.

Under the broad rezone, the property would go to 85 feet, which might theoretically allow redevelopment, but such redevelopment may be suboptimal, particularly in the urban center context as well as potentially cost prohibitive.

If the property are rezoned to 125 feet, we could maximize the potential of the site in a mixed-use development with a blend of uses that could include hotel, residential, and other attractive uses. At present there is no residential opportunity on our site, and the best way to encourage residential multi-family development in a mixed-use context would be to allow for 125 feet. This redevelopment really

makes sense in the context of light rail coming to Northgate.

As stated by the draft EIS and earlier this evening, any property that takes advantage of the new upzoning would remain subject to project-level SEPA, so of course there would be all the considerations in the design guidelines and such that would be required. I would strongly encourage all of us to support the city in considering upzones to the Northgate urban center as contemplated in the broad rezone rather than the focused rezone, and in addition to consider zoning of up to 125 feet on Northgate Way. We want people living and working in the Northgate area, not just driving to Northgate, parking, and then taking the transit. A proposal that does not seriously increase density will result in just that. Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF RICHARD TRUAX

Richard Truax. I live at 10752 Ashworth Avenue

North, just west of the freeway, in the previous

speaker's property. I would say I have a concern about
height limits, but that's not why I'm up here.

My biggest concern is to parrot what a lot of people have said about pedestrian traffic, the ability

to move. The neighborhood was originally designed in such a way that we weren't -- it was supposed to somehow or other have a rural character, and as a result there are basically no sidewalks, particularly north, so you cannot walk north of the general area without basically dodging traffic. The few sidewalks that are in the neighborhood tend to be on the street, so they are curb-side sidewalks, and when you're walking you're about two feet away from buzzing traffic rather than being removed from the traffic. And it's an issue that has to be addressed, otherwise the purpose of all this is meaningless.

2.0

The centerpiece of this project is a giant mall that's predicated on cars, and we have to find a way to make it so that bicycles, be it bike lanes, pedestrians and not just in the redevelopment zone. It has to finger out into the neighborhoods, because the neighborhoods have no sidewalks.

I'm also concerned on the city's, I would say, general requirements that there's proper development. Northgate north to me is a classic example of no planning for pedestrian traffic. It's basically dodge ball of pedestrians flying all over the place, and so there's no accommodation made for people moving back and forth between Northgate Mall. And the building

itself is an eyesore. And so we have, what, a three or four-story building that's an eyesore, so the idea of having a 125 foot eyesore is of course a concern.

The city has not done a good job planning pedestrians, like with 115th Street. When it remodeled that street two or three years ago, it did a very nice job and then for some reason stopped a hundred yards short of Aurora in connecting to another sidewalk. So a person getting off the 358 bus and trying to walk to the hospital starts on a sidewalk, leaves the sidewalk and goes to a chaos of cars, dirt, and mud, and then all of a sudden comes back to this beautiful sidewalk leading them to the hospital. I have no idea why that stopped. And so I have concerns about Northgate north and that sort of model of development, so it is critical.

One last one. We just also expanded this mall and provided basically no bike parking. There's no covered parking in the parking garage -- there's one tiny little rack to hold about three bikes. I'm sure you have the number of parking stalls and the number of covered parking stalls. And we have to get beyond the idea that this is a car neighborhood if we're going to promote pedestrian intensity. Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF GREG HUNTER

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

I'm Greg Hunter, 11720 24th Avenue Northeast. in favor of the broad rezone because eventually I would like the Northgate area to look better than it does now. And there's a lot of properties in here that do not change because there's no incentive for them to If the zoning all goes up one notch, that will change. spur something to happen on a lot of the pieces of property that have just been stagnated for years and years. If everybody were to build to the max, if you went on a broad rezone, it would still take years and years to happen because you're going to get one land owner that will build something nice, that will spur the imagination of someone else, they'll build something, somebody else will want to build something. But it will take a year or two to get the plans and the permits and everything.

So it's not something that's going to happen overnight anyhow. And if it does take 10 or 15 years for it to happen, let's at least get it started now. Thank you.

23

24

25

#### STATEMENT OF JOHN MULLALY

My name is John Mullaly. I'm at 2825 Eastlake

Avenue East, Seattle, Washington. I represent

Northgate Apartments located at First Avenue Northeast
and Northgate Way. We support the principle of the
broad rezone, yet we have a few caveats to the zoning
changes in regards to our property. Our site as
proposed in the broad rezone has split zones with an NC

125 zone along the freeway, an NC 85 in the southeast
corner, and mid-rise 85 in the northeast sector. We
oppose strongly having mid-rise 85 mixed in with an NC
zone. There are conflicts and inconsistencies between
the two zones, such as setbacks and types of retail and
commercial uses. Rather than have a cohesive
development or village you would have a site not in
sync with itself.

From a planning and function perspective, it requires a uniform zoning type. NC 3 designation allows for flexibility and retail uses, allows for housing, and brings your buildings to the street for a vibrant streetscape, which is part of the Northgate comp plan vision. In order to achieve the vision of an urban village with multiple uses, including housing so people can live, work, and play all at one location, we

need an NC 3 designation over the whole site. MR would segregate, not incorporate, the housing into the overall site plan. Northgate Apartments is a gateway site with its proximity to I-5, and a potential of a light rail stop near First Avenue Northeast. Allowing an NC 3-125 designation would make it potentially feasible to incorporation of the station to incorporate into one of our new buildings.

2.0

Think of the possibilities to be able to live at Northgate's gateway property and be able to ride the rail down to the U. District, all the way to the airport or to downtown. Northgate needs to be linked to the core of the city as well as service to surrounding neighborhoods.

Urban planning studies attest to the fact that there is safety in numbers. More people in the park, on the street, or in the shops create an atmosphere where people feel safer to stroll day and night. By bringing more density we create the pedestrian vision of European cities with sidewalk bistros and storefronts, and the buzz and bustle of a market village. Density is the key to achieving the vision of the Northgate urban village.

The no-action plan suggests that there is enough zone capacity currently to accommodate the

comprehensive planned growth targets. But theoretical capacity does not always translate into feasible or likely. Northgate needs a rezone stimulus to ensure the city's and the regional growth targets are met and help then to prevent urban sprawl. The city has had the foresight to move forward on Northgate, and much has happened over the last three years. As the economy has slowed, we are in a fragile time, and the momentum to continue and to complete the vision is at risk.

I commend your courage and your vision for bringing the rezone process to the table, but I ask if we do not act now, then when? If not here, then where? And to that end, where will our kids, our grandkids, and their kids live, work, and play? Thank you.

# STATEMENT OF MELODY MCCUTCHEON

Good evening. My name is Melody McCutcheon, and I'm chair of the Chamber of Commerce Land Use Committee. I'm alway a Mapleleaf resident.

There's three points I wanted to make to you tonight. The chamber strongly supports the broad rezone, Alternative 1, for some of the reasons

Mr. Mullaly just mentioned. This is a key opportunity to add density near a regional shopping center, near a

regional transit center, and help the city meet its goals and help meet regional Growth Management Act goals.

Number two, there are concerns that the chamber has that we're on record about on the incentive zoning proposal. Our deepest concern is that it will actually be a disincentive. So we strongly urge that the incentive zoning proposal only apply above 85 feet, so whether it's housing or the public benefit, only above 85 feet, not below. There's just simply too few units that you can get if you go from 60 or 65 feet to 85 feet to make that worthwhile with then a surplus, a surcharge on top for incentive zoning. The real concern is it's a disincentive and we're not going to see the positive benefits of density that the EIS talks about.

Third, we were a little disappointed that the EIS punted to the final EIS the issue of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. There's been some really good work done around the country in EISs of how you can examine how choosing one land use pattern over another can directly reduce greenhouse gas emissions by affecting vehicle miles traveled. So we would urge you in the final EIS to take a look at these alternatives and say, Where do we have opportunities to reduce

vehicle miles, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and make that part of your final EIS analysis. That'd be in keeping with the initiative of this city as shown nationally recognized to be a leader in that area, and the Northgate EIS has a chance to advance that cause even more. So thank you.

# STATEMENT OF GRACE KIM

My name is Grace Kim and I live at 1720 12th

Avenue, but I'm here working with the property owners
that own the property just north of the proposed park.

So I'm familiar with that area and I'm speaking about
parcel C, specifically.

I know that we're not talking about the park, but to address somebody's prior concern about retail in that district, I would -- as the park is moving forward, it's already happening. But because it's moving forward in that way, if you look at the drawings, the first one on the right, it shows the difference in height from the 85 feet and the lower height limits. And I would encourage the creation of an urban park. If you've been down to Portland, there's some great urban parks there, and they are very successful from the standpoint that there's an urban

edge that reinforces that park. And I think if we're looking at a focused rezone or a zoning situation where there's a lower density, that urban park is not captured and therefore it won't become the vibrant place that it can be.

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And once it becomes that vibrant urban park, there will be retail support there. I think right now the park 'n ride can't support any retail, but I think in the future if there's a park there, coffee shops, places that provide picnic supplies, kites, pet stores, things like that that would support the activities of a park, those types of retail spaces would be a great amenity around that park. And I think peoples' concerns about traffic racing through there, the increase of people and activities will produce a traffic-calming effect, in that if you look at places like Pike Place Market, not that that's what this is going to become, but I think that when you look at places like that, you don't see traffic racing through There's too many people, and too much activity, and too many things to see to actually create the traffic sort of running through those quarters.

The other thing that I would like to ask that the final EIS address is the notion of water quality. I know, having worked on a project in the south sound

area, a previous proposal, I know the neighborhood, or the surrounding neighborhoods, are very concerned about water quality; and I think that it hasn't been addressed fully in the draft EIS. If you look at the aerial photo of the area, there's a lot of parking lots and single-story buildings that occupy these properties that are being discussed for rezone, and those properties currently are not mentioned -- their storm water, it just goes into the system. And I think with all the new development that occurs, there will be storm management mitigations that the individual properties will have to do as well as open space and green space which will allow the water to infiltrate into the ground and then be absorbed into the atmosphere as opposed to having to go through storm water treatment. So I'd like to see that addressed in the final EIS.

18

19

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

# STATEMENT OF KEVIN WALLACE

20

21

22

23

24

Good evening. I'll stand up here so you don't have to look at my backside. My name is Kevin Wallace and I'm the developer of the 507 Northgate project up here on the corner on Fifth and Northgate Way.

And I just wanted to start by applauding the city

and all the representatives of Northgate for the efforts that they've made so far, because without the infrastructure that's gone in, like this community center and the Thornton Creek project, my project wouldn't have been possible. And what we see is I think the first waive of the future of Northgate, the ability to develop quality mixed-use projects.

We talked about wider sidewalks here. Our sidewalks will be between 20 and 25 feet wide around the building. And this is what needs to happen at the other properties around the mall and in these areas in order to develop the public amenities, the pedestrian atmosphere that the leaders of this community have wanted and strove to create for decades.

In order for that to happen, the density or the height limit, the NC 3 zoning that is going to be provided needs to be higher than NC 3-85. Under the current building code, the maximum you can build in wood frame is 70 feet; and beyond that you would have to switch to a much more expensive steel or concrete construction method, which would require you to build much higher. So 85 feet would never pencil out as a development. What will happen then is everybody would either not develop their property or continue just to develop to the 65 or 70 foot height. If there's an

incentive zone on top of that to get to 70 feet from the 65, it's just a foregone conclusion that you're going to develop it to 65 feet again or not develop it at all.

With the NC 3-125 designation, the floor area ratio of the site is the same as the NC 3-85, which means that the number of square feet of building site you can build is the same. It only means 125 gives the owner the opportunity to develop a taller, skinnier building, creating more open space at the ground level, and it'd also create more modulation around the ring, around the mall and the development area.

So to sum up, my feeling is that the NC 3-85 designation is not getting us anywhere. It won't provide any additional affordable units or units at all. And the 125 has the potential to increase the number of units delivered and also provide some better architectural look to the neighborhood and potentially with the incentive zoning, if that gets in place, some additional environmental and other neighborhood amenities.

So I would encourage everyone to take another look at increasing the zoning designation to 125 throughout the properties along Northgate Way. Thank you.

#### STATEMENT OF SY IFFERT

My name's Sy Iffert, I-F-F-E-R-T, and my family,

Jenna Justin Meyers and Theresa Andrew Dahl. We've

owned property in the Northgate area now for over 40

years. It's family inventory. And you know, we're in

the 21st century and we need to get into the 21st

century. And I support the Alternate 1 to get us in

the 21st century. If we don't, development north of us

will develop, and we'll be in the same position we've

been in for the last 40 years. And for that reason we

should get in the 21st century. And I propose that the

height restrictions be amended to the new Alternate 1.

I'm building a building now up in the D section, and when I -- I'm just a small builder. And when I have the hardships that a small builder has -- I've got setbacks. If I have 65 feet in the building I'm building today, I'd be building a building that I'm proud of, that the neighbors would be proud of. The neighbors would use it. I'm building here because I'm not going to use it. The community is going to use it. With the extra heights of affordable housing, we do need affordable housing, and that would give it to us. I'm building a box now that's a nice building, but it's not the building that I'd be proud of. It's not the

building that the community would be as proud of. If I had the 65 feet, I'd have a proud building.

And in this same vein, the property west of the freeway, close to the hospital, needs to have the heights that are proposed there for affordable housing for the people who work in the hospital. You know, someday we're going to have Sound Transit rail to the city. This is going to be a new city if we do it right. If we stay in the 21st century this would be Northgate, a new city. So I want to thank everyone for listening to me, and I know that you people have done a lot of hard work and study for this proposal and I certainly thank you for that.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to provide comments?

# STATEMENT OF BARBARA MAXWELL

My name is Barbara Maxwell, 835 Northeast 100th Street, Seattle 98125. And I've been interested in the comments from many of the people that I know from 20 years ago of planning. And I find myself in a difficult position tonight because I only got the DEIS on Sunday, haven't really had a chance to study it.

But there are a few things I think that are

important for us to consider at this juncture. And one of the things is that the Northgate CTIP was certainly developed with a number of projects identified. And a lot of these assumptions for the upzone assume that many of those projects are going to go forward. But I think that it should be understood that those are not -- the CTIP projects are not funded. I think that of course the projects, development projects, are subject to SEPA review when they come in, notably, Simon Property Group, the expansion of the mall.

2.0

I looked at the decisions issued after the city reviewed these projects under SEPA. There were no mitigation requirements of Simon that were identified under SEPA. On Lorig, the development in the south parking lot, there was -- Lorig was required to do one, to provide a fair-share contribution to the installation of a traffic signal at the new Third Avenue south parking lot and Northeast 103rd Street area. I think Kevin's project is required to contribute a fair share to some improvements at Fifth and Northgate Way. So I think that certainly those big projects, the south parking lot will include a 14-screen cinema, certainly a large traffic generator at certain times of the day.

And I think it's unrealistic for us to assume that

some of the smaller projects that come along are going to be required to contribute to the CTIP projects under SEPA. I think the reality is that the public is going to be paying for these improvements sooner or later, or else we're going to be stuck with traffic conditions that are going to deteriorate.

2.0

Pedestrian improvements. I think these lovely improvements that we see along Fifth Avenue, and thanks to Kevin Wallace for his foresight in including the 20-foot sidewalks, he's recognized the need to have that larger space for pedestrians to get a little bit further away from the high speeds of traffic. So we need more people that are willing to contribute to those pedestrian improvements from the private sector as well as the public sector.

Light rail. Everyone has mentioned the possibility of light rail and how important that will be to the transportation system. But the reality is that there is no funding for the light rail construction from downtown to Northgate at this time. And there's still some debate about whether to put that on the ballot in the near future or the far future. So there's assumptions that accompany this rezone that I question the validity of those right at the moment.

The incentive package is still in draft form. It

isn't clear to me. It sounds like there should be more discussion about places where it could go to 125 feet. There probably are some in the Northgate area, maybe not those that have been identified so far. There's the transit-oriented development on the west side of the property where the transit center is. There are some sites that might lend themselves to that development. But I think it takes more discussion.

I should point out also that even though the urban center park north of 112th Street is in the planning stages now, the development of that park is not funded either.

So it seems like there's a lot of the cart being in front of the horse with the plans. And the infrastructure and the quality of life things are left too much to chance in my view. I think it takes more thought to proceed. And I would appreciate a longer opportunity than two or three days from now in which to submit comments on the subject. I know it's the minimum that SEPA requires, but I would request an extended comment period to have thoughtful input into development of a preferred alternative. Thanks.

#### STATEMENT OF RYAN MILLER

My name's Ryan Miller. I live at 1047 Northeast 104th. So I have a few concerns and also a question. My main concern is with the broad rezone of 125 feet, I don't feel that we have the infrastructure right now. I drive down Northgate every day going to and from to get to work, to get to I-5, and the traffic is a mess right now. Without expanding the roads and without improving sidewalks or bike lanes and things like that first and just upping the zoning, this is going to be a mess. And that really concerns me. So I think the priority first needs to be getting funding to improve the roads and sidewalks. Have the infrastructure there before we allow people to increase the density.

So again, I think the broad rezone now is not acceptable. I don't think that that should happen.

I'm also concerned about potential flooding, because if we're taking away more grassy areas and more trees, where's the rainwater going to go? And I heard from somebody that this area used to be like a kind of swampy area or peat bog or something, and that concerns me as well. So I think that needs to be looked at, as well, for rainwater runoff, where is it going to go?

And if we just put concrete everywhere, what's going to

happen.

I think, you know, again, sidewalks need to be added. This is not only going to affect roadways out here, this is going to affect the areas over here too. It's just a few blocks to this new development over here with the movie theater; and as more stuff gets developed, more people are going to be parking and walking from the residential areas. Right now there's no sidewalks. There's sidewalks on main streets, but there's no sidewalks in the residential areas. So again, this is part of the infrastructure that needs to be built so people can safely walk and park and get to these places.

I also think probably more crosswalks would need to go in. That's another concern. Right now, when I drive to work, I actually come down 130th to get to I-5 and there's construction workers haphazardly running across the street right in front of me that I don't see. This also happens to me on my way home going to Northgate. So it's because there aren't enough crosswalks and they can't get across the street, so they just run across and pray that they make it without someone hitting them. So that's a concern. One thought is maybe having pedestrian bridges going over 103rd and over Northgate Way. That would solve the

problems I think -- or maybe a few of them. I think that was all my comments.

mentioned, is about getting the comment period extended. King of seems like it's being rushed a little bit. We having this meeting and then within a couple of days the comment period ends. And I think a lot of my neighbors don't even know that this proposal is going on. So I think that would be beneficial if you want to get feedback from the residents who actually live here. It might be beneficial to extend that.

MR. WEINMAN: I'll just respond to that one quickly. If you'd like to request an extension of the comment period, you should get that request to DPD as soon as possible before the end of the comment period.

MR. FISCHBURG: Can we just say that the request is made. It's in the record. The public comment period will be extended. So it's been done.

MR. KOFOED: We should be clear, though, about how long we're extending it for. And we'll post a notice of that on our Web site and other required places. But obviously at this point it will be extended for the additional 15 days, which is what we can do under SEPA. So comments should be in by close

of business June 17th. Okay.

## STATEMENT OF GREG GOODWIN

2.0

I'm Greg Goodwin, 2215 North 107th, and I have a couple of thoughts. First is regarding the issue of concurrency. I think it would be very undesirable if the site was underdeveloped or the acreage here is underdeveloped or underzoned in the long haul because I think it will be very harmful in the long run goal of extending the light rail up here. So if we limit the density too much we'll never get light rail.

And I have a second point, as a builder, to corroborate what a couple speakers ago, what the fellow said is that it's not really practical to build a building above 65 feet unless you're building quite a big building. So 85 foot zoning is really meaningless zoning because you can't really build to that height. You either should build a 65 foot building and have it zoned that way or you should build a much bigger building, which is much more expensive and marketable and financeable. You can't really do it in between.

So I would suggest perhaps that the market drive what will be built there, increase the density quite bit, and allow the people to build something practical

and financeable and allow that to bring light rail

perhaps not too far in the future to this area. Thank

you.

MR. WEINMAN: Any other comments?

## STATEMENT OF KEN MEYER

I just thought I'd scan the audience for eggs and tomatoes, and I think I'm safe for a while.

My name is Ken Meyer, 1509 North 143rd Street.

I've followed this process. I've gone to the

Stakeholders meetings, although I haven't been an

official Stakeholder. And the cynical part of me is

kind of smelling a little bait and switch here. One of

the big arguments for the development that's been done

here so far is that Simon was going to dump the mall if

they weren't allowed to do big expansion and stuff.

And people said, Oh, people are going up to Alderwood.

We see in the checks that come back they're all made

out to places in Alderwood. We have to compete with

Alderwood and U Village and whatever. So it's happened

here.

Now, what we did was we said we're going to be a destination shopping area, okay? And people are not going to come on the bus far enough in enough numbers

seven-Eleven on the corner. So we've already -- and we have a 14-theater cinema going in here. You know, we've really committed -- and we've committed to these people, and we've also had the transit center and we've committed that this is going to be a hub for people to drive to and then go on downtown or wherever, and we made all those provisions.

And now what I hear a lot of people saying is we're going to increase the density here so that the traffic at Meridian and Northgate Way and Fifth and Northgate Way is going to be just -- well, the hell with it, I'm going to go to Alderwood Mall anyway. And so there's a big schizophrenia going on here. And of course we've heard a lot from developers, and all I hear is cash registers ringing. And I'm concerned that if we do get affordable housing, it won't be affordable on their part, it will be that we're subsidizing it with taxes, so we've just redistributed the rental income. But they're doing very well.

So I know that there's lots of stress back and forth, but I just urge you when you go about this that you keep in mind where we've come to and you don't then trash what's the previous plans and make the investments that have already been done pretty

1 untenable.

And I would say just one more thing is that, as I get older, you know, it's really hard to walk even what would have been a trivial matter to the bus anymore.

I'm still not senile enough so that I have to have my driving 86'd yet, but I need the car, really. And I'm not going to carry big packages home. There are a lot of people around here like me. So you have to consider the entire population and not just two-income young couples who've got a lot of money to throw around.

Thank you.

MR. WEINMAN: Would anyone else like to make a comment? Okay. Remember there are comment forms on the table that you can use to provide written comments. Please sign in on the sign-in sheet. And the comment period has been extended to the 17th, and that will be noticed in a variety of places.

[Hearing ended at 8:36 p.m.]

```
CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     STATE OF WASHINGTON )
                         ) ss.
     COUNTY OF KING
 5
 6
          I, Catherine A. Decker, a Notary Public in and for the
     State of Washington, do hereby certify:
 8
          That the foregoing hearing was taken before me at the time
     and place therein set forth;
10
          That the statements of the witnesses and all remarks made
11
     at the time of the hearing were recorded stenographically
     by me, and thereafter transcribed under my direction;
12
13
          That the foregoing transcript is a true record of the
14
     testimony given by the witnesses and of all objections made
15
     at the time of the hearing, to the best of my ability.
16
          Witness my hand and seal this fourth day of June, 2008.
17
                                   CATHERINE A. DECKER, Notary
18
                                   Public in and for the State of
19
                                   Washington, residing at Medina.
                                   Commission expires June 29, 2009.
20
                                   WA CSR No. DE-CK-EC-A502J5
21
22
23
24
25
```

	21.22.22.22.5	20.1 5 20.5 12	Dauhana 2.6	   <b>                                   </b>
A	31:22,23 32:5	28:1,5 30:5,12	<b>Barbara</b> 3:6	<b>build</b> 21:11,14
ability 18:25	41:17,17	31:5 33:19	32:17,19 38:3	21:15,16 29:18
29:7 43:15	age 10:13	35:3 36:21,22	base 10:17	29:21 30:8
<b>able</b> 14:11 23:9	ago 20:6 32:22	40:2,24	basically 9:22	39:15,18,19,20
23:10	39:14	areas 6:11,13	19:4,6,22	39:25
absorbed 28:14	airport 23:12	8:2,23 9:4,6	20:18	<b>builder</b> 31:15,16
acceptable	Alderwood	29:11 36:19	beautiful 20:12	39:13
36:17	40:18,20,21	37:4,8,10	believe 6:5	<b>building</b> 11:8,24
accommodate	41:13	arguments	Bellows 4:16	14:12 19:25
23:25	<b>allow</b> 17:14,25	40:15	belongs 12:6	20:2 29:10,18
accommodated	28:13 36:15	arterial 11:16	beneficial 38:9	30:7,10 31:14
14:20	39:25 40:1	arterials 12:5	38:11	31:14,17,18,18
accommodation	allowed 40:17	Ashworth 2:18	benefit 10:3	31:18,20,24,24
19:24	Allowing 23:5	18:20	25:9	31:25 32:1,2
accompany	allows 22:20,20	assume 33:4,25	benefits 10:16	39:16,16,17,19
34:23	Alternate 31:8	assumptions	25:15	39:21
achieve 22:23	31:13	33:4 34:23	Berkshire 13:25	<b>buildings</b> 10:13
achieved 14:24	alternative 6:6,7	atmosphere	best 17:23 43:15	12:19 22:21
achieving 23:22	15:15 24:23	23:17 28:15	better 9:12 21:5	23:8 28:6
acreage 39:8	35:22	29:13	30:17	<b>built</b> 6:15 37:12
act 24:12 25:2	alternatives	attest 23:15	beyond 20:22	39:24
action 15:15	15:14 25:24	attractive 17:21	29:19	<b>bus</b> 13:9 20:9
16:9	alway 24:20	audience 40:8	bicycles 19:15	40:25 42:4
activities 27:11	amended 31:13	Aurora 20:8	<b>big</b> 11:1 33:21	business 9:9
27:15	amenities 29:12	automobile-or	39:17 40:15,17	39:1
activity 27:20	30:21	14:22	41:14 42:7	<b>bustle</b> 23:21
add 7:11 24:25	amenity 27:13	auto-oriented	bigger 12:4	buyout 7:20
38:3	analysis 8:7 26:2	8:17	39:20	<b>buzz</b> 23:21
added 5:21 37:3	Andrew 31:4	available 8:2	biggest 18:24	buzzing 19:9
addition 18:11	anybody 11:21	<b>Ave</b> 2:8,18,20,23	<b>bike</b> 19:15 20:18	
additional 8:4	anymore 42:4	3:1 4:13	36:10	C
16:14 30:15,20	anyway 41:13	<b>Avenue</b> 1:9 7:4	bikes 20:20	C 26:14 43:1,1
38:24	Apartments	8:25 11:17	<b>biking</b> 5:15,17	called 10:2
address 7:3	9:22 22:5 23:3	12:1 18:20	bistros 23:20	capacity 23:25
14:11 26:16	APPEARAN	21:3 22:4,5	<b>bit</b> 34:11 38:6	24:2
27:24	4:1	23:5 26:11	39:25	captured 27:4
addressed 8:17	applauding	33:18 34:8	<b>blend</b> 17:20	car 20:23 42:6
19:11 28:4,16	28:25	average 15:17	blocks 37:5	Carnahan 2:4
addresses 16:2	<b>apply</b> 25:8		<b>bog</b> 36:22	5:1,3
adjacent 8:10	appreciate	B	<b>box</b> 4:4,10 31:24	Carol 2:4 5:1,3
Adolfson 4:12	35:17	<b>B</b> 9:22	bridges 37:24	carry 42:7
advance 26:5	<b>April</b> 16:13	back 5:5 11:23	<b>bring</b> 40:1	cars 7:15 8:4
advantage 18:4	architectural	12:5 19:24	bringing 23:19	11:12 19:14
advent 16:17	30:18	20:12 40:19	24:11	20:11
advice 16:12	area 6:10,17,19	41:21	<b>brings</b> 22:21	cart 35:13
aerial 28:5	8:10 10:14,18	backside 28:22	broad 9:24	case 15:19
affect 37:3,4	10:25 13:3,4	<b>bad</b> 5:19	15:18 17:13	cash 41:16
affordable 7:16	14:2,21 16:19	<b>bait</b> 40:14	18:10 21:4,12	Catherine 1:17
7:19,21,23,25	18:13 19:5	<b>ball</b> 19:23	22:7,9 24:22	4:16 43:6,18
8:1 30:15	21:5 26:4,13	<b>ballot</b> 34:22	36:5,16	cause 26:5
2.20.20			<u> </u>	l

	I		I	I
caveats 22:7	38:20	component 5:10	contemplate	20:16
<b>CCR</b> 1:18	clearly 7:13	comprehensive	15:21	crosswalks
center 1:8 5:18	<b>close</b> 32:4 38:25	14:21 24:1	contemplated	37:14,21
10:18 14:4,8	code 29:18	concern 6:4	15:6 18:10	crucial 16:7
14:12 16:8,16	coffee 27:9	11:14 18:22,24	context 17:16,24	CSR 43:20
16:23 17:16	cohesive 22:15	20:3 25:6,14	18:1	<b>CTIP</b> 14:14,16
18:9 24:25	Colleen 2:10	26:16 36:5	continue 24:9	15:21,24 33:2
25:1 29:4 35:6	9:17,19	37:15,23	29:24	33:7 34:2
35:10 41:5	College 13:2	concerned 11:3	contribute	<b>cul</b> 11:4,9
centerpiece	<b>come</b> 33:9 34:1	19:19 28:2	33:20 34:2,13	curb-side 19:8
19:13	37:16 40:19,25	36:18 41:16	contribution	current 29:18
century 31:7,8,9	41:23	concerns 8:5	33:16	currently 6:15
31:12 32:9	comes 6:12	12:4,18,21	coordinated	9:5 12:21,22
certain 33:24	12:24,25 20:12	20:14 25:4	8:21 14:13	17:7 23:25
certainly 17:12	comfortable 6:5	27:14 36:4,12	Corbett 4:16	28:8
32:13 33:2,21	13:17	36:22	<b>core</b> 10:2 16:24	cynical 40:13
33:23	coming 18:1	concludes 15:11	23:13	<b>C-12</b> 15:24
certify 43:7	commend 14:5	conclusion 30:2	<b>Corliss</b> 2:4 5:4	D
chair 24:19	24:10	concrete 29:20	12:23	-
chamber 24:19	comment 1:4	36:25	corner 22:11	<b>D</b> 2:1 6:5,8
24:22 25:4	6:6 35:21 38:4	concurrency	28:24 41:2	31:14 D-1-1-21-4
chance 26:5	38:7,15,16,19	39:7	corridor 7:8,10	Dahl 31:4
32:24 35:16	42:13,13,15	conditions 15:12	7:22 8:6	daily 5:14
<b>change</b> 21:7,8	comments 14:25	34:5	corridors 5:16	<b>Danji</b> 2:15 13:20
changed 12:18	32:15,21 35:19	condominium	7:13	13:22
changes 22:8	38:2,25 40:4	12:12	corroborate	date 8:8
chaos 20:11	42:14	conflicts 22:13	39:14	day 7:24 13:10
character 19:3	Commerce	congestion 13:4	cost 17:16	23:18 33:24
characteristics	24:19	connecting 20:8	<b>costly</b> 10:12	36:7 43:16
16:24	commercial	connection	cottage 8:13	days 35:18 38:7 38:24
checks 40:19	10:5,15 11:15	15:25	country 25:20	
choose 8:3	12:3,5,19 17:7	connectivity	COUNTY 43:4	de 7:23 11:4,9
choosing 25:21	17:8 22:15	15:23	<b>couple</b> 38:7 39:6	deal 6:16 debate 34:21
cinema 33:23	Commission	consensus 14:12	39:14	decades 29:14
41:3	43:19	consider 14:6	<b>couples</b> 42:10	decisions 33:11
circulation	committed 17:3	16:12,13,25	courage 24:10	Decker 1:17
11:18	41:4,4,6	18:11 33:1	course 18:6 20:3	4:16 43:6,18
cities 23:20	Committee	42:8	33:8 41:15	decrease 15:18
city 4:3,9 7:17	24:20	consideration	Court 1:17 4:16	deepest 25:6
10:3,15 13:13	commonly	9:14 17:5	covered 20:18	definitely 10:14
14:5,8 16:11	13:23	considerations	20:22	degree 15:18
16:21,25 17:6	community 1:8	18:6	create 16:5	DEIS 32:23
18:8 20:4	8:19 13:2 17:6	<b>considered</b> 8:14	23:17,19 27:21	delayed 15:12
23:13 24:5	29:3,13 31:21	9:7 16:7	29:14 30:11	delays 15:17
25:1 26:3	32:1	considering	creating 30:10	delivered 30:17
28:25 32:8,8	comp 11:18	18:9	creation 26:22	dense 8:2
32:10 33:11	22:23	construction	creative 8:13	densities 14:23
city's 19:19 24:4	compared 15:14	29:21 34:20	Creek 29:4	densities 14.23 density 7:8,12
classic 19:21	compete 40:20	37:17	creeping 11:15	18:15 23:19,22
<b>clear</b> 15:10 35:1	complete 24:9	Consulting 4:6	critical 14:25	10.13 23.17,22
	I	I	1	1

24:25 25:15	25:22	<b>EIS</b> 14:3,17 15:6	16:9	25:24 26:2
27:3 29:15	dirt 20:11	15:10,16 18:3	express 13:9	27:24 28:17
36:15 39:12,24	disappointed	25:15,18,18,24	extend 38:11	financeable
41:10	25·17	26:2,5 27:24	extended 35:21	39:22 40:1
Department 4:3	discussed 28:7	28:4,17	38:5,19,24	find 7:17 19:14
dependence	discussion 35:2	EISs 25:20	42:16	32:22
7:15	35:8	either 29:24	extending 38:21	fine 11:15
design 9:2,3	disincentive	35:12 39:19	39:11	finger 19:17
16:1 18:7	25:7,14	emissions 25:19	extension 38:14	first 7:7 9:5
designated	<b>district</b> 23:11	25:22 26:1	extra 31:22	10:10 14:18
11:17	26:17	empty 11:24	eyesore 20:1,2,3	15:5 22:5 23:5
designation	diverse 5:7	encourage 15:24		26:20 29:6
22:19 23:1,6	document 5:13	16:11 17:23	$\mathbf{F}$	36:11,13 39:6
30:5,14,23	dodge 19:22	18:8 26:22	F 2:1 43:1	FISCHBURG
designed 19:1	dodging 19:6	30:22	facility 5:21	4:10 38:17
destination	doing 41:20	ended 42:18	fact 15:16 23:15	flexibility 22:20
14:23 40:24	downtown 15:3	ends 38:7	facto 7:23	flooding 36:18
detail 9:25	23:12 34:20	enjoyable 5:19	fair 33:20	floor 30:5
deteriorate 34:6	41:7	enormous 9:8	fair-share 33:16	flying 19:23
develop 29:7,12	<b>DPD</b> 38:15	ensure 14:18	familiar 26:13	focused 6:6 15:5
29:24,25 30:3	Dr 3:5	24:3	family 5:6 9:21	16:9 17:10
30:3,9 31:10	draft 1:3 14:3	entire 42:9	11:1 13:22	18:11 27:2
<b>developed</b> 10:17	14:17 15:6,10	environment	31:3,6	focuses 6:4
14:13 33:3	15:16 18:3	16:6	far 12:13 29:2	followed 40:11
37:7	28:4 34:25	environmental	34:22 35:4	foot 20:3 29:25
developer 3:3	drawings 26:20	1:3 7:14 30:20	40:2,16,25	34:10 39:17,19
28:23	drive 15:2 36:7	ESA 4:12	farther 11:15	foregoing 43:8
developers 7:18	37:16 39:23	especially 8:22	favor 21:4	43:13
41:15	41:7	European 23:20	feasible 23:7	foregone 30:2
development	driving 16:20	evening 15:5	24:2	foresight 24:6
2:10,22 4:3	18:13 42:6	18:4 24:18	feedback 38:10	34:9
9:11,20 12:17	drop-off 10:9	28:21	feel 23:18 36:6	form 34:25
14:11 17:12,20	due 9:12	eventually 21:4	feeling 30:13	formerly 13:25
17:24 19:20	dump 40:16	eventually 21.4 everybody	feels 8:24	forms 42:13
20:15 22:16	dump 40.10	21:11 29:23	feet 6:14,18	forth 19:25
28:10 29:23	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	examine 25:21	16:14 17:1,7,9	41:22 43:9
30:12 31:9	E 2:1,1,1 3:1	examilie 23.21 example 17:2	17:14,18,25	forward 24:6
33:8,14 35:5,8	43:1,1	19:21	18:12 19:9	26:18,19 33:5
35.8,14 33.3,8 35:11,22 37:5	earlier 18:3	<b>excellent</b> 7:9,16	25:8,10,11,12	four 8:5
40:15	easily 8:3	excluded 8:6	26:21 29:9,19	fourth 43:16
DE-CK-EC-A	east 15:9 22:4	exist 12:19	29:22 30:1,3,7	<b>four-mile</b> 13:11
43:20	eastbound 12:25	expanded 20:17	31:17 32:2	four-story 20:2
difference 6:8	Eastlake 22:3	expanded 20.17 expanding 36:9	35:2 36:5	fragile 24:8
15:12 26:21	economic 9:24	expanding 30.9 expansion 33:10	39:16	frame 29:19
differently 15:9	economy 24:7	40:17	<b>fellow</b> 39:14	freeway 13:15
15:20	edge 6:8 8:11	expense 8:4	<b>Fifth</b> 1:9 11:16	15:8,9,9,20,22
difficult 16:15	10:24 27:1	expense 8.4 expensive 29:20	12:1 28:24	16:7 18:21
32:23	effect 6:11 27:16	39:21	33:20 34:8	22:10 32:4
<b>direction</b> 43:12	efforts 29:2	expires 43:19	41:11	front 10:11
directly 11:7	eggs 40:8	expires 45.19 explicitly 15:11	final 9:4 25:18	35:14 37:18
unccuy 11./	-88"	САРИСКИУ 13.11		33.17 37.10
	•	•	1	•

		I	I	I
fronting 10:6	34:3,5,6 36:7	hard 32:12 42:3	Hundreds 13:10	increasing 30:23
<b>fully</b> 7:9 28:4	36:11,20,24,25	hardships 31:16	Hunter 2:19	individual 28:11
function 22:18	37:3,4,7,19,24	harmful 39:10	21:1,3	infiltrate 28:13
funded 33:7	38:9 40:16,18	hate 13:3		influence 13:6
35:11	40:23,25 41:3	haul 39:9	$\frac{I}{I}$	infrastructure
funding 34:19	41:6,10,12,13	hear 41:9,16	idea 7:9 8:13	8:16,18 29:3
36:13	41:14 42:7	heard 36:20	20:2,13,23	35:15 36:6,14
further 34:12	<b>good</b> 20:4 24:18	41:15	identified 33:3	37:11
<b>future</b> 27:9 29:6	25:20 28:21	hearing 42:18	33:13 35:4	initiative 14:6
34:22,22 40:2	Goodwin 3:10	43:8,11,15	Iffert 3:4 31:1,3	26:3
	39:3,5	heating 10:13	imagination	initiatives 15:21
$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} + \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} + \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}}}$	<b>Grace</b> 3:1 26:8	heavily 12:15	21:15	<b>input</b> 35:21
Gail 2:13 12:9	26:10	height 6:8 12:18	imagine 16:15	inroads 5:18
12:11	grandkids 24:13	18:23 26:21,22	immediate 6:10	installation
gain 7:21	<b>grassy</b> 36:19	29:16,25 31:13	impact 1:3 6:18	33:17
garage 20:19	great 6:16 9:5	39:18	11:8	integral 14:3
gas 16:18 25:19	26:24 27:12	heights 16:13,25	impacts 6:12	intensity 20:24
25:22 26:1	green 11:17,19	31:22 32:5	implement 8:21	interested 32:20
gateway 10:2	28:13	hell 41:12	implemented	intersection
23:3,10	greenhouse	help 24:5 25:1,2	9:2	15:13,17
Gautestad 2:13	25:19,22 26:1	Hi 5:3 9:19	important 5:10	inventory 31:6
12:9,11	Greg 2:19 3:10	12:11	33:1 34:17	investment 8:22
general 19:5,20	21:1,3 39:3,5	<b>high</b> 13:2 34:12	improve 15:23	14:14
generator 33:23	<b>Grill</b> 13:25 14:1	<b>higher</b> 29:17,22	15:25 36:13	investments
getting 11:11	ground 28:14	Highland 3:5	improvements	41:25
20:9 30:14	30:10	high-rise 9:11	33:20 34:4,7,8	involved 14:16
36:13 38:4	Group 9:20	hitting 37:23	34:14	Island 4:8
Geving 2:11	14:10,10,15,19	hold 20:20	improving 13:4	<b>issue</b> 13:13
10:21,23	16:12 33:10	home 5:6 37:19	36:10	19:11 25:18
giant 19:13	grow 6:16	42:7	incentive 21:7	39:6
give 31:23	growth 14:20	homelessness	25:5,8,13 30:1	issued 33:11
given 43:14	24:1,4 25:2	13:14	30:19 34:25	item 15:24 16:3
gives 30:8	guidelines 18:7	homes 6:19 11:1	inception 14:15	16:4
<b>go</b> 13:10 17:13	G-A-U-T-E-S	hope 7:17,24	include 7:19	it'd 30:11
25:11 28:15	12:11	horse 35:14	17:20 33:22	I-F-F-E-R-T
33:5 35:2	H	hospital 20:10	included 9:7	31:3
36:20,24 37:15	-	20:13 32:4,6	including 22:24	<b>I-5</b> 12:25 13:1
41:7,13,22	habits 16:20	hotel 13:24	34:9	13:23 23:4
goal 5:12 7:17	hand 43:16	17:21	income 41:20	36:8 37:16
14:22 39:10	haphazardly	houses 6:12,13	inconsistencies	J
goals 25:2,3	37:17	<b>housing</b> 7:10,16	22:13	
God 11:4	happen 16:17	7:19,21,23 8:1	incorporate	Jenna 31:4
goes 20:11 21:8	21:9,13,19,21	8:1,13 9:9,11	23:2,7	<b>job</b> 20:4,7
28:9	29:10,15,23	9:12 10:7	incorporation	jobs 10:17
going 5:11,14	36:17 37:1	22:21,24 23:2	23:7	John 2:21 9:25
20:23 21:13,19	happened 24:7	25:9 31:22,23	increase 7:10	22:1,3
25:14 27:18	40:21	32:5 41:17	18:15 27:15	JOHNSON 4:12
29:16 30:3	happening	hub 41:6	30:16 36:15	juncture 33:1
31:21,21 32:7	26:18	huge 6:8 41:1	39:24 41:10	June 39:1 43:16
32:8 33:5 34:1	happens 37:19	hundred 20:7	increased 7:8	43:19
		l	l	l

Justin 31:4	<b>let's</b> 9:9 21:21	14:11 18:24	Melody 2:23	22:1,3 24:24
	level 30:10	21:6,9 28:5	24:16,18	multiple 9:21
K	library 5:13	32:12 33:4,15	mentioned	22:24
<b>K</b> 2:1	life 5:9 6:19 9:24	33:18,22 35:13	24:24 28:8	multi-family
keep 12:5 41:23	35:15	38:8 41:9,15	34:16 38:4	17:24
keeping 26:3	<b>light</b> 9:13 10:8,8	42:7,10	Mercer 4:8	
<b>Ken</b> 3:12 40:6	11:5,7,13 18:1	lots 28:5 41:21	Meridian 12:23	N
40:10	23:5 34:16,17	lovely 34:7	12:24 15:13	<b>n</b> 2:1,14 27:8
Kevin 3:3 28:19	34:19 39:11,12	lower 26:21 27:3	41:11	name 5:3 6:3 7:3
28:22 34:9	40:1	L4 11:2	mess 36:8,12	12:11 13:22
<b>Kevin's</b> 33:19	limit 29:16		met 24:4	16:8 22:3
key 23:22 24:24	39:11	M	method 29:21	24:18 26:10
kids 24:13,14	limits 18:23	main 12:21 36:5	<b>Metro</b> 13:7	28:22 32:19
<b>Kim</b> 3:1 26:8,10	26:22	37:9	Meyer 3:12 40:6	40:10
kind 36:21	line 11:11	maintained	40:10	name's 31:3
40:14	linked 23:12	11:21	Meyers 31:4	36:3
King 4:17 38:5	linking 7:15	maintaining	mid-rise 22:11	nationally 26:4
43:4	listening 32:11	16:23	22:12	nationwide
kites 27:10	little 12:1 20:20	major 15:4	miles 25:23 26:1	16:18
knew 11:10	25:17 34:11	mall 9:8 10:7	Miller 3:8 36:1	NC 22:9,10,12
know 6:10,11	38:6 40:14	19:13,25 20:17	36:3	22:19 23:1,6
9:23 11:22,25	live 5:3 6:3 7:4	29:11 30:12	Mills 2:6,10 6:1	29:16,17 30:5
12:5 26:15	8:3 9:23 10:23	33:10 40:16	6:3 9:17,19	30:6,13
27:25 28:1	11:23 13:15,16	41:13	mind 15:4 41:23	<b>NE</b> 2:6,8,12,20
31:6 32:6,11	15:1 18:20	management	minimum 35:20	3:7,9
32:21 35:19	22:25 23:9	4:9 25:2 28:11	minutes 13:12	near 8:23 23:5
37:2 38:8 41:1	24:14 26:10	Manager 4:13	missing 5:10	24:25,25 34:22
41:3,21 42:3	36:3 38:11	Mapleleaf 24:20	mitigate 15:22	near-term 16:4
known 13:25	lived 5:4,8	MARK 4:12	mitigation 33:13	need 8:19,21
14:14	living 5:6 18:12	market 23:21	mitigations	23:1 31:7,23
KOFOED 4:3	LLC 4:6	27:17 39:23	28:11	34:10,13 37:2
38:20	located 10:1	marketable	mixed 22:12	37:14 42:6
KRISTIAN 4:3	22:5	39:21	mixed-use 9:11	needed 7:10
	location 9:12	Mary 2:6 6:1,3	17:19,24 29:7	needs 10:15
L	22:25	matter 42:4	model 20:15	23:12 24:3
land 4:4 21:13	long 5:5 17:3,4	max 21:11	modulation	29:10,17 32:4
24:19 25:21	38:21 39:9,10	maximize 17:19	30:11	36:13,23 37:11
landscape 14:22	longer 35:17	maximum 29:18	<b>moment</b> 34:24	negative 6:18
lanes 19:15	look 12:14 15:24	Maxwell 3:6	momentum	neighborhood
36:10	21:5 25:24	32:17,19	14:19 24:8	7:5,12 8:8,11
large 14:11	26:19 27:16,18	McCutcheon	money 42:10	11:14,23 16:24
33:23	28:4,22 30:18	2:23 24:16,18	months 13:17	17:7 19:1,7
larger 10:17	30:22	mean 11:25 12:2	move 19:1 24:6	20:23 28:1
34:11	looked 33:11	meaningless	moved 11:5,6,10	30:18,20
leader 26:4	36:23	19:12 39:17	<b>movie</b> 37:6	neighborhoods
leaders 29:13	looking 9:21	means 30:7,8	<b>moving</b> 19:24	19:17,18 23:14
leading 20:13	27:2	Medina 43:19	26:17,19	28:2
leaves 20:10	<b>Lorig</b> 33:14,15	meet 25:1,2	mud 20:11	neighbors 8:15
left 35:15	lot 7:23 8:20 9:8	meeting 1:4 38:6	<b>Mullaly</b> 2:10,21	31:19,20 38:8
lend 35:7	9:10 11:14	meetings 40:12	2:22 9:19,25	net 7:21
	l	l	l	l

	I	I	I	I
never 12:1 29:22	Northgate's	originally 19:1	peat 36:22	27:19 35:2
39:12	23:10	outside 8:6	pedestrian 7:11	37:13 38:23
new 18:4 23:8	notably 33:9	16:24	15:23,25 16:1	40:20 42:17
28:10 31:13	<b>Notary</b> 43:6,18	overall 12:21	18:25 19:22	plan 8:8,22
32:8,10 33:17	<b>notch</b> 21:8	23:3	20:24 23:19	11:10,19 14:14
37:5	<b>note</b> 13:6	overnight 21:20	29:12 34:7,14	22:23 23:3,24
Nexus 13:24	notice 38:22	overpass 13:15	37:24	planned 24:1
nice 5:9 11:19	noticed 42:17	owned 17:2 31:5	pedestrians 16:6	planning 4:3
20:6 21:14	<b>notion</b> 27:24	owner 21:14	19:15,23 20:5	10:25 19:22
31:24	no-action 17:10	30:9	34:11	20:4 22:18
nicer 5:7	23:24	owners 26:11	pedestrian-fri	23:15 32:22
<b>night</b> 23:18	number 13:16	owns 13:22	14:23 16:5	35:10
noisy 5:20	20:21,21 25:4		pedestrian-ori	<b>plans</b> 12:14
north 5:4 6:8	30:7,17 33:3	<u>P</u>	8:16	21:17 35:14
8:11 11:15,24	numbers 23:16	P 2:1	<b>Pelt</b> 4:16	41:24
12:3,12,16,19	40:25	package 34:25	<b>pencil</b> 29:22	plan's 14:21
13:1 14:1	<b>NW</b> 4:13	packages 42:7	people 9:23	play 5:14 22:25
15:13 18:21		Page 2:3	11:20 13:10,16	24:14
19:5,5,21	0	parcel 26:14	15:1 16:19	Please 42:15
20:14 26:12	<b>O</b> 2:1	park 9:9 11:18	18:12,25 19:24	<b>point</b> 16:2,11
31:9 35:10	objections 43:14	11:19 15:3	22:25 23:16,18	35:9 38:23
39:5 40:10	objective 14:18	23:16 26:12,15	27:15,20 32:6	39:13
northeast 1:9	obviously 38:23	26:17,23 27:1	32:11,21 34:13	points 15:4
6:4 7:4 8:25	occupy 28:6	27:3,6,8,9,12	36:15 37:7,12	24:21
9:1,1 10:10,23	occurs 28:10	27:13 35:10,11	39:25 40:18,18	Policy 4:9
21:3 22:5,11	offered 16:22	37:12	40:24 41:5,6,9	population 42:9
23:5 32:19	Office 4:9	parking 9:8	42:8	Portland 26:23
33:18 36:3	official 40:13	12:22 18:14	peoples 27:13	position 31:10
Northgate 1:1,8	offset 11:6	20:18,19,19,21	perimeter 5:17	32:23
2:16 3:3 5:4	<b>Oh</b> 40:18	20:22 28:5	period 35:21	positive 25:15
7:8,21 8:21 9:8	okay 39:1 40:24	33:15,18,22	38:4,7,15,16	possibilities
9:22 11:16,24	42:13	37:7	38:19 42:16	23:9
12:25 13:11	older 42:3	parks 26:24	perks 8:5	possibility 15:17
14:2,4,7,8,9,12	once 27:6	parrot 18:24	permits 21:18	34:17
14:15,21 15:2	open 28:12	part 8:8 11:17	person 20:9	possible 29:5
15:3,13,22,25	30:10	14:2,3 16:8	personal 13:6	38:16
16:8,14,16,22	operate 10:12	22:22 26:2	perspective 7:14	<b>possibly</b> 10:8,10
17:1,3 18:2,9	opportunities	37:11 40:13	16:2 22:18	13:7
18:12,13,14	25:25	41:18	<b>pet</b> 27:10	post 38:21
19:21,25 20:14	opportunity 7:6	particular 8:24	photo 28:5	potential 5:20
21:5 22:5,6,22	9:6,10 10:3	15:23	pickup 10:9	6:15,16 9:13
23:3,12,23	16:21 17:22	particularly	picnic 27:10	17:19 23:4
24:3,6 26:5	24:24 30:9	14:16 16:17	pieces 21:9	30:16 36:18
28:23,24 29:1	35:18	17:15 19:4	<b>Pike</b> 27:17	potentially
29:6 30:24	oppose 22:12	path 5:17	Pinehurst 7:4	17:16 23:6
31:5 32:10	opposed 28:15	paths 5:15	8:15,24 9:1	30:18
33:2,21 34:20	option 17:10,11	pattern 25:21	<b>place</b> 19:23 27:5	practical 39:15
35:3 36:7	order 8:18 22:23	PAUL 4:10	27:17 30:19	39:25
37:20,25 41:11	29:12,15	paying 7:20 34:4	43:9	<b>pray</b> 37:22
41:12	orientation 7:11	peaceful 11:20	<b>places</b> 27:10,16	predicated
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

19:14	revisit 8:7
preferred 35:22   proposal 5:11   36:24   42:13	
	REVITALIZ
present 17:22         11:1 18:15         rapid 16:17         remind 15:20	1:1
pretty 12:13   25:6,8 28:1   ratio 30:6   remodeled 20:5	
41:25   32:12 38:8   reached 9:23   removed 19:10	, ,
<b>prevalent</b> 13:13 <b>propose</b> 31:12 <b>reaching</b> 10:18 <b>Renee</b> 2:8 7:1,3	•
prevent 24:5   proposed 6:9   read 5:13   rental 41:19	17:10,13 18:10
<b>preventing</b> 7:14   8:9,12 9:5 22:9   <b>real</b> 25:13   <b>rented</b> 12:2	18:11 21:4,12
<b>previous</b> 16:3	22:7,9 24:3,11
18:21 28:1 <b>proud</b> 31:19,19 <b>really</b> 8:15 <b>Reporter</b> 1:17	24:23 27:2
41:24 31:25 32:1,2 11:22 15:7,10 4:16	28:7 34:23
previously 11:6   provide 27:10   16:15,16,21   represent 22:4	36:5,16
<b>prices</b> 16:18   30:15,17 32:15   17:25 25:19   <b>representative</b>	
<b>principle</b> 22:6   33:16 42:14   32:24 36:12   29:1	10:15 17:18
<b>prior</b> 26:16 <b>provided</b> 20:18 39:15,17,18,22 <b>representing</b>	rezoning 6:16
<b>priority</b> 36:13   29:17   41:4 42:3,6   9:21	7:18
private 34:14   provisions 41:8   reason 7:16 11:9   request 35:20	<b>rich</b> 5:7
<b>probably</b> 35:3 <b>proximity</b> 9:13 20:7 31:11 38:14,15,18	Richard 2:17
37:14   10:7 23:4   reasons 24:23   require 17:5	4:7 18:18,20
<b>problems</b> 38:1 <b>public</b> 1:4 15:2 <b>receive</b> 10:16 29:21	ride 23:10 27:8
<b>proceed</b> 35:17   25:9 29:12   <b>recognized</b> 26:4   <b>required</b> 18:7	<b>right</b> 7:13,24
<b>process</b> 7:9 34:3,15 38:18 34:10 33:15,19 34:2	8:20 10:24
24:11 40:11	11:2,25 12:16
produce 27:15   punted 25:18   16:22   requirements	26:20 27:7
prohibitive purpose 19:12 reconsidering 19:20 33:13	32:9 34:24
17:17   <b>put</b> 10:10 34:21   16:19   <b>requires</b> 22:19	36:6,9 37:8,15
<b>project</b> 1:2 3:3   36:25   <b>record</b> 11:3 25:5   35:20	37:18
4:13 8:24 <b>putting</b> 11:2 38:18 43:13 <b>residences</b> 6:9	<b>ring</b> 30:11
12:13 19:13 <b>p.m</b> 1:7 42:18 <b>recorded</b> 43:11 <b>resident</b> 24:20	ringing 41:16
27:25 28:23 P.O 4:4,10 redevelopment residential	rising 16:18
29:4,4 33:19	3 <b>risk</b> 24:9
<b>Projects</b> 7:19	road 12:23
8:23 29:7 33:3   quality 5:9   redistributed   residents 8:3	roads 36:9,14
33:5,7,8,8,12 27:24 28:3 41:19 38:10	roadway 13:5
33:22 34:1,2 29:7 35:15 reduce 25:22,25 residing 43:19	roadways 37:3
project-level quarters 27:22 26:1 respect 15:7	Roosevelt 2:6
18:5 <b>question</b> 34:24 <b>reducing</b> 7:15 <b>respond</b> 38:13	6:4
<b>promote</b> 20:24   36:4   25:18   <b>rest</b> 11:20	routine 5:15
proper 19:20 quickly 38:14 referred 13:24 restaurant	run 37:22 39:10
<b>properties</b> 14:7   <b>quite</b> 39:16,24   <b>regard</b> 6:7   13:24	running 27:22
16:14 21:6 regarding 39:6 restrictions	37:17
28:6,8,12   R   regards 22:8   31:13	runoff 36:24
29:11 30:24	rural 19:3
property 13:23   racing 27:14,19   25:1.2   19:4	rushed 38:5
14:2 17:1.2.4.8   rack 20:20   registers 41:16   retail 10:6 22:1	4 <b>Ryan</b> 3:8 36:1,3
17:13.18 18:4   rail 9:13 10:8,8   reinforces 27:1   22:20 26:16	
18:22 21:10   18:1 23:5,11   rejected 15:6   27:7.8.12	S
22:8 23:10   32:7 34:16,17   relevant 14:7   retain 7:20	S 2:1,1
26:11,12 29:24 34:19 39:11,12 remain 18:5 review 33:9	<b>sac'd</b> 11:4,9
31:5 32:3   40:1   remarks 43:10   reviewed 33:12	safe 8:19 40:9

safely 37:12         Seven-Eleven slated 16:4         9:20 14:10,10         32:20 33           safer 23:18         41:2         slowed 24:8         14:15,19 16:12         35:10 37           safety 16:1         Shaiza 2:15         small 12:22         40:12         40:10	
<b>safer</b> 23:18 41:2 <b>slowed</b> 24:8 14:15,19 16:12 35:10 37	·12
$1.60101 \times 1.6^{\circ} + 1.800176 \times 1.5 = 1.6000111 \times 1.7 = 1.7 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$	.10,21
safety 16:1         Shaiza 2:15         small 12:22         40:12         40:10           23:16         13:20,22         31:15,16         stalls 20:21,22         streets 37:	0
1 1 1	e
saying 41:9         sheet 42:15         smelling 40:14         standpoint         22:22           scan 40:8         Shileshole 4:13         solve 37:25         26:25         stress 41:2	1
SE 4:7         40:24         somebody's         starts 20:10         strongly 8:           seal 43:16         shops 23:17 27:9         26:16         State 43:3,7,18         18:8 22:1	
3:5,7,9,11,13   side 11:23 12:13   sooner 34:4   9:17 10:21   studies 23:	
4:3,5,9,11,14	32.12
4:17 13:1 14:5	40.17
22:4 32:20 35:5 32:7 22:1 24:16 <b>stuff</b> 37:6	
second 14:20   sidewalk 20:8   sounds 35:1   26:8 28:19   subcommi	ittee
16:11 39:13   20:10,10,12   <b>south</b> 4:17 9:10   31:1 32:17   14:16	_
section 4:4 6:5,8   23:20   9:10 12:16   36:1 39:3 40:6   subject 18	
6:20 15:11   sidewalks 8:20   27:25 33:14,18   statements   33:9 35:1	
31:14 19:4,6,8,18 33:22 43:10 <b>submit</b> 35:	
sector 22:11 29:8,9 34:10 southbound states 5:8 15:16 suboptima	al
34:14,15 36:10,14 37:2 13:1 station 9:13 10:8 17:15	
see 5:10,15,17   37:9,9,10   southeast 22:10   10:9,9 13:8   subsidizing	$\mathbf{g}$
8:15 9:2 10:5   sign 42:15   space 12:1 28:12   23:7   41:18	
10:14 11:21   signal 33:17   28:13 30:10   Staton 2:8 7:1,3   substantia	
13:3 25:15   significant   34:11   stay 32:9   successful	
27:19,21 28:16	
29:5 34:8   sign-in 42:15   speaker 16:3   stenographica   Sue 2:11 10	0:21
37:19 40:19   Simon 33:10,13   speakers 39:14   43:11   10:23	_
seeing 6:7 40:16 speaker's 18:22 step 9:6 suggest 12	:3
seen 16:18         simply 25:10         speaking 26:13         stepped 8:12         39:23	
segregate 23:2   single 11:1   specifically   stimulus 24:3   suggests 23	
seize 16:21         single-family         16:19 26:14         stop 23:5         Suite 4:14,	
senile 42:5         8:11 16:23         speeds 12:24         stopped 20:7,14         sum 30:13	
Senior 4:13   single-occupa   34:12   storefronts   Sunday 32	
sense 15:7 18:1         13:7         split 22:9         23:21         supplies 23:21	
SEPA 18:5 33:9         single-story         sprawl 7:14 24:5         stores 27:10         support 7:	
33:12,14 34:3   28:6   <b>spur</b> 21:9,14   41:1   14:24 18	
35:20 38:25   site 9:13,21,22   square 30:7   storm 28:8,11   22:6 27:7	
separate 5:16         10:1,2,11,16         ss 43:3         28:15         31:8 41:1	
seriously 16:12   17:11,12,19,23   St 3:7,13   straight 11:3,13   supporting	_
16:13,25 18:15   22:8,16 23:1,3   stages 35:11   street 4:7,17   supports 2	
served 14:14         23:4 30:6,7         staggered 6:11         6:13 9:3 10:6         supposed 1	
service 23:13   38:22 39:8   stagnated 21:10   11:3,7,7,11,17   surcharge	
set 11:3,12 43:9         sites 35:7         Stakeholder         11:19 17:9         sure 20:20	
setbacks 22:14         situation 27:2         40:13         19:7 20:5,6         surface 9:8	8
31:17   <b>skinnier</b> 30:9   <b>Stakeholders</b>   22:21 23:17   <b>surplus</b> 25	5:12

surrounding	theater 37:6	tons 11:12	21:17 22:14	urge 25:7,23
23:14 28:2	theme 15:1	top 25:13 30:1	25:4 35:18	41:22
sustainable 8:18	theoretical 24:1	traffic 5:19 8:20	two-income	use 4:4 17:1
9:3	theoretically	12:22,24,25	42:9	24:19 25:21
sustained 14:20	17:14	13:3 15:22	type 22:19	31:20,21,21
swampy 36:22	Theresa 31:4	18:25 19:6,9	types 22:14	42:14
switch 29:20	thing 7:13 9:4	19:10,22 27:14	27:12	uses 17:20,21
40:14	11:13 27:23	27:19,22 33:17		22:15,20,24
Sy 3:4 31:1,3	38:3 42:2	33:23 34:5,12	U	utilized 8:5
sync 22:17	things 27:11,21	36:8 41:11	U 23:11 40:21	<b>U.W</b> 13:10
system 10:14	32:25 33:2	traffic-calming	underdeveloped	
28:9 34:18	35:15 36:10	27:16	39:8,9	V
	think 5:18 7:7	transcribed	underlying	validity 34:24
T	8:1 10:1 11:21	43:12	14:25	<b>Van</b> 4:16
T 43:1,1	11:22 23:9	transcript 43:13	understanding	variety 42:17
table 24:11	27:1,7,8,13,18	transform 14:22	14:17	vehicle 13:8
42:14	28:3,9 29:6	transit 7:10 13:8	understood 33:6	25:23 26:1
take 13:8 15:1,3	32:25 33:6,7	14:24 15:2,3	underzoned	vein 32:3
21:12,17,20	33:19,21,25	16:17 18:14	39:9	vibrant 22:22
25:24 30:22	34:3,7 35:8,16	25:1 32:7 35:6	undesirable	27:4,6
taken 43:8	36:12,16,17,23	41:5	39:7	view 35:16
takes 13:11 18:4	37:2,14 38:1,1	transition 8:9	unfortunate	<b>village</b> 5:12,21
35:8,16	38:7,9 39:7,10	transitional	16:20	22:16,24 23:22
talk 9:25	40:9	8:14	uniform 22:19	23:23 40:21
talked 10:10	thinking 17:4	transit-accessi	unique 10:2	villages 5:8
29:8	third 11:16	8:2	16:23	<b>vision</b> 10:18
talking 8:10	14:21 25:17	transit-oriented	<b>units</b> 25:10	22:23,23 23:19
26:15	33:17	35:5	30:15,15,17	23:22 24:9,10
talks 25:15	Thornton 29:4	translate 24:2	University 13:9	visualize 10:24
taller 30:9	thought 17:5	transportation	unrealistic	***
Target 11:5	35:17 37:24	5:16 8:16,17	33:25	W
targets 24:1,4	40:8	8:22 14:13	untenable 42:1	W 3:5
tax 10:17	thoughtful	34:18	upcoming 8:8	WA 43:20
taxes 41:19	35:21	trash 41:24	updates 8:9	waive 29:6
tend 19:7	thoughts 11:22	traveled 25:23	<b>upping</b> 36:11	walk 5:14 8:19
term 17:4,4	39:6	treat 15:19	upzone 7:7	11:20 13:8
testimony 43:14	three 20:1,6,20	treatment 28:16	14:18 33:4	19:5 20:9
thank 5:22 6:21	24:7,21 35:18	treats 15:8	upzoned 8:23	37:12 42:3
7:5 9:14,15	thrive 12:3	trees 36:19	upzones 18:9	walkability 7:12
10:19 11:4	throw 42:10	tremendous	upzoning 14:6	walking 5:15,17
12:7 13:18	time 5:5 24:8	6:18 14:9	18:5	5:18 13:14
14:8 18:16	34:20 43:8,11	<b>trip</b> 13:11	urban 5:8,12,21	19:9 37:8
20:24 21:22	43:15	trivial 42:4	7:8,12,14,21	Wallace 3:3
24:14 26:6	times 33:24	Truax 2:17	8:6 10:18 14:4	28:19,22 34:9
30:24 32:10,13	tiny 20:19	18:18,20	14:8,12 16:8	want 7:5,7 15:1
32:14 40:2	today 31:18	true 5:21 16:22	16:16,23 17:16	18:12 21:16 32:10 38:10
42:11	tomatoes 40:9	43:13	18:9 22:24	wanted 24:21
thanks 34:8	tonight 7:6	trying 20:9	23:15,23 24:5	28:25 29:14
35:22	14:25 24:22	two 5:8 12:14	26:23,24,25	38:3
<b>That'd</b> 26:2	32:23	15:4 19:9 20:6	27:3,6 35:9	30.3
	l	<u> </u>		

	_	_	_	
Washington	41:10,18	zones 8:14 22:9	<b>14-theater</b> 41:3	4
1:10 2:5,7,9,12	we've 10:11 31:4	22:14	143rd 3:13	
2:14,16,18,20	31:10 41:2,4,4	<b>zoning</b> 1:2 8:13	40:10	<b>40</b> 3:12 31:5,11
2:24 3:2,5,7,9	41:5,5,15,19	18:11 21:8	<b>15</b> 21:20 38:24	<b>45</b> 13:11
3:11,13 4:5,8	41:23	22:7,19 25:5,8	<b>1509</b> 3:13 40:10	5
4:11,14,17	who've 42:10	25:13 27:2	17th 39:1 42:16	<u>52:4</u>
13:9 22:4 43:3	wide 29:9	29:16 30:19,23	<b>170</b> 3:1 42:10	5th 8:25
43:7,19	wider 29:8	36:11 39:17,18	<b>18</b> 2:17	
wasn't 11:5		30.11 39.17,10	<b>1975</b> 1:18	<b>507</b> 3:3 28:23
wash t 11.3 water 27:24	willing 34:13 winter 13:17	#	1975 1.16	<b>5309</b> 4:13
		# <b>2W</b> 3:5	2	6
28:3,9,13,16	wish 14:8	# <b>500</b> 2:23	$\frac{2}{26:6}$	62:6
way 2:6,16 6:4	Witness 43:16	π300 2.23	2nd 2:23	
6:17 7:17 9:1	witnesses 43:10	1	<b>20</b> 29:9 32:21	<b>60</b> 6:14 25:11
11:16 12:25	43:14	1 6:7 24:23 31:8	34:9	<b>65</b> 17:7 25:11
14:2,7 15:13	wonderful 9:10	31:13	<b>200</b> 4:14	29:25 30:2,3
15:22 16:1,15	wood 29:19	<b>1.7</b> 15:11		31:17 32:2
17:1,23 18:12	work 5:14 7:18	<b>10</b> 2:11 21:20	<b>2003</b> 14:10	39:16,19
19:2,14 22:6	9:19 15:1	<b>10</b> 2.11 21.20 <b>100</b> 4:17	<b>2007</b> 16:13	<b>68th</b> 4:7
23:11 26:19	22:25 24:14	100 4.17 100th 3:7 32:19	<b>2008</b> 1:6 43:16	7
28:24 30:24	25:20 32:6,12	100th 3:7 32:19 103rd 33:18	<b>2009</b> 43:19	72:8
33:21 37:19,25	36:8 37:16	37:25	<b>21</b> 2:19	
39:20 41:11,12	worked 27:25		<b>21st</b> 31:7,7,9,12	<b>7:00</b> 1:7
ways 8:19 9:12	workers 37:17	104th 3:9 36:4	32:9	<b>70</b> 29:19,25 30:1
<b>Web</b> 38:22	working 17:6	1047 3:9 36:3	<b>2117</b> 2:14 12:12	<b>7200</b> 10:25
WEINEMAN	18:13 26:11	<b>10510</b> 1:9	<b>2140</b> 2:16 14:1	8
5:22 6:21	worthwhile	<b>107th</b> 2:14 3:11	<b>22</b> 2:21	<b>8:36</b> 42:18
Weinman 4:6,7	25:12	12:12,16,20	<b>2215</b> 3:11 39:5	<b>835</b> 3:7 32:19
9:15 10:19	wouldn't 29:5	39:5	<b>24</b> 2:23	<b>85</b> 6:17 17:9,13
12:7 32:14	written 42:14	<b>10752</b> 18:20	<b>24th</b> 2:20 21:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
38:13 40:4		<b>10753</b> 2:18	<b>25</b> 29:9	22:10,11,12
42:12	X	111 3:5	<b>26</b> 3:1	25:8,10,11
went 5:5 6:17	<b>X</b> 2:1	112th 35:10	<b>28</b> 1:6 3:3	26:21 29:22
21:12	•	115th 2:12 8:25	<b>2825</b> 22:3	39:17
weren't 19:2	<u>Y</u>	10:23,25 20:5	<b>29</b> 43:19	<b>86'd</b> 42:6
40:17	yards 11:1 20:7	<b>11528</b> 2:6 6:3		9
west 12:13 13:23	year 21:17	<b>11535</b> 2:4 5:3	3	92:10
15:7,8,19,22	years 10:12 17:3	<b>11720</b> 2:20 21:3	<b>3</b> 22:19 23:1	<b>9350</b> 4:7
16:7 18:21	20:6 21:10,11	<b>12</b> 2:13	29:16	<b>94745</b> 4:10
32:3 35:5	21:12,13,20	<b>12th</b> 2:8 3:1 7:4	<b>3-125</b> 23:6 30:5	
Westbound	24:7 31:6,11	26:10	<b>3-85</b> 29:17 30:6	<b>98040</b> 4:8 <b>98101</b> 2:24
12:23	32:22	<b>1221</b> 2:23	30:13	<b>98101</b> 2:24 <b>98104</b> 4:17
we'll 31:10	<b>young</b> 42:9	<b>125</b> 16:14 17:1	31 3:4	
38:21 39:12	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	17:18,25 18:12	<b>316</b> 2:12 10:23	98107 4:14
we're 9:21 12:12		20:3 22:10	<b>32</b> 3:6 17:2	981152:7
12:16,16 14:3	<b>zone</b> 10:5 17:8	30:8,16,23	34019 4:4	98119 3:5
17:8 20:23	19:16 22:10,13	35:2 36:5	<b>358</b> 20:9	98122 3:2
25:5,14 26:15	23:25 30:1	<b>12516</b> 2:8 7:3	<b>36</b> 3:8	98124-4019 4:5
27:1 31:6 32:7	zoned 6:14	<b>13</b> 2:15	<b>360</b> 4:17	98124-4745 4:11
34:5 36:19	10:25 17:7,9	<b>130th</b> 37:16	<b>39</b> 3:10	<b>98125</b> 2:9,12,20
38:21 40:23	39:20	<b>14-screen</b> 33:23		32:20

	 I	 [	 [	ı
<b>98133</b> 2:5,14,16 2:18 3:7,9,11				
3:13				